Advanced chemical peels: Phenol-croton oil peel

Carlos G. Wambier, MD, PhD,a Kachiu C. Lee, MD, MPH,b Seaver L. Soon, MD,c J. Barton Sterling, MD,d Peter P. Rullan, MD,e Marina Landau, MD,f and Harold J. Brody, MD,g for the International Peeling Society

New Haven, Connecticut; Providence, Rhode Island; San Diego, California; Spring Lake, New Jersey; Holon, Israel; and Atlanta, Georgia.

Once considered the standard for deep facial resurfacing, the classical Baker-Gordon phenol-croton oil peel has largely been replaced by formulas with lower concentrations of phenol and croton oil. The improved safety profile of deep peels has ushered in a new era in chemical peeling. Wrinkles can be improved and skin can be tightened with more subtle and natural results. No longer does a deep peel denote “alabaster white” facial depigmentation with complete effacement of wrinkles. Gregory Hetter’s research showed that the strength and corresponding depth of penetration of the phenol-croton oil peel can be modified by varying the concentration of croton oil. This second article in this continuing medical education series focuses on the main historical, scientific, and procedural considerations in phenol-croton oil peels. (J Am Acad Dermatol 2019;81:327-36.)
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Key points
• Brown described a phenol-croton oil formula in 1959
• Baker-Gordon’s formula (2.1% croton oil) was the standard from 1962 to 2000
• Hetter’s formulas (∼1.6% croton oil) became the standard after 2000
• Croton oil is the active ingredient

Croton oil is obtained from the seeds of *Croton tiglium*, a vegetal matrix of phorbol esters. These compounds display a broad range of biologic activities over several proteins/enzymes. The activation of protein kinase C causes extreme inflammatory and promoter effects. Medicinal applications dated back to the 1800s, and lay peelers mixed it with other ingredients including phenol in the 1900s. Phenol causes epidermal and superficial dermal coagulation but is capable of conveying phorbols to the dermis.

In 1959, Brown’s patent documented a formula containing phenol and croton oil. By 1960, other plastic surgeons practiced with formulas derived from lay peelers with the assumption that phenol, and not croton oil, was the active ingredient. Baker first published a formula containing 1.2% croton oil in 47.5% phenol in a medical journal in 1961. One year later, Baker reduced the volume of the formula but maintained the original drops of croton oil. The croton oil concentration was thus increased to 2.1% (Table I), increasing the risks of scarring and persistent hypopigmentation. This Baker-Gordon formula was widely adopted with a standard emulsifying agent, Septisol (Steris Corp, Mentor, OH), with 0.25% triclosan (formerly hexachlorophene) as the antibacterial agent and sorbitol (formerly glycerin) as the humectant. Trials to replace Septisol with another detergent without triclosan are ongoing.

Hetter refuted that phenol was the active ingredient in 1996 and showed that croton oil is the active agent. With a series of 4 publications in 2000, Hetter outlined the rationale for referring to formulas by the percentage of croton oil and phenol (Tables II and III). Subsequently, others confirmed that wounding depth relates to croton oil concentration.

HISTOLOGY

Key points
• Deep peels produce mid- reticular dermal injury with marked collagen formation and organization of elastic fibers

Table I. Baker’s formulas of phenol-croton oil peels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original formula (1961)</th>
<th>Classical formula (1962), Baker-Gordon’s peel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Croton oil</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croton oil</td>
<td>3 drops</td>
<td>3 drops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol (88%)</td>
<td>5 mL</td>
<td>3 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>4 mL</td>
<td>2 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septisol</td>
<td>5 drops</td>
<td>8 drops</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Septisol is a trademark of Steris Corp (Mentor, OH).

*From Baker and Baker.

• These changes persist for over a decade
• More strokes with peel agents increase wound depth

The most important histologic observation is a dense, dermal neocollagenesis zone (Fig 1) that increases until 16 weeks. Organized elastic fibers replace the elastosis. Melanin granules decrease despite the presence of melanocytes. Occlusion increases the depth of effects when compared with unoccluded skin. At 3 months of follow-up, the neocollagenesis zone measures 350 µm in occluded Baker-Gordon peels compared with 260 µm without occlusion. Despite deeper abrasion, CO₂ lasers form neocollagen bands from 150 to 200 µm. Kligman et al evaluated the long-term histologic effects of deep peels and found that changes persisted 15 to 20 years later. A wide band of healthy dermis is sharply demarcated from the deeper, sun-damaged dermis and consists of a parallel arrangement of collagen fibers and elastic fibers.

One pig study rank-ordered the depth of injury of different modalities and yielded the following results, from deepest to most superficial: a single pass of Baker-Gordon, 3 passes of CO₂ laser, dermabrasion, 1 pass of 35% trichloroacetic acid, and 1 pass of CO₂ laser. Minipig experiments demonstrated no difference in the depth of necrosis and neutrophilic infiltrate in adhesive tape or ointment occlusion. Epithelization was faster with ointment than with tape and was slowest in unoccluded skin. Dermal effects were similar in wet and moist application, although less repigmentation was observed with wet application.

A pig study confirmed Hetter’s clinical findings by showing that depth increases with croton oil concentration and the number of strokes. Unoccluded 20% to 80% phenol emulsions without croton oil produced light wounds, which took...
Table II. Hetter’s phenol-croton formulas, also known as the “heresy” formulas (1996) 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Heavy</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Light</th>
<th>Very light</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Croton oil</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.35%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concentration*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol concentration</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croton oil</td>
<td>3 drops</td>
<td>2 drops</td>
<td>1 drop</td>
<td>3 mL of 0.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(88%)</td>
<td>4 mL</td>
<td>4 mL</td>
<td>4 mL</td>
<td>2 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>6 mL</td>
<td>6 mL</td>
<td>6 mL</td>
<td>5 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septisol</td>
<td>16 drops</td>
<td>16 drops</td>
<td>16 drops</td>
<td>0 drop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Suggested indications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wrinkle depth</th>
<th>Deep</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Very mild</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cosmetic areas</td>
<td>Perioral, nose</td>
<td>Forehead, cheeks</td>
<td>Periocular</td>
<td>Eyelids, neck</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each drop of croton oil added to 4 mL of phenol 88%, followed by 6.0 mL of water and 0.5 mL of Septisol, results in 0.35% increments. An additional drop to the heavy formula creates a 1.4% croton oil formula.

Septisol is a trademark of Steris Corp (Mentor, OH).

Table III. Hetter’s phenol-croton oil standardized formulas containing 35% phenol (2000) in 10 mL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very heavy</th>
<th>Heavy</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Light</th>
<th>Very light</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Croton oil</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concentration*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hetter’s stock</td>
<td>4 mL</td>
<td>3 mL</td>
<td>2 mL</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>0.25 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol (88%)</td>
<td>0 mL</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>2 mL</td>
<td>3 mL</td>
<td>3.75 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>5.5 mL</td>
<td>5.5 mL</td>
<td>5.5 mL</td>
<td>5.5 mL</td>
<td>5.5 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septisol</td>
<td>0.5 mL</td>
<td>0.5 mL</td>
<td>0.5 mL</td>
<td>0.5 mL</td>
<td>0.5 mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Suggested indications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wrinkle depth</th>
<th>Very deep</th>
<th>Deep</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Very mild</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cosmetic areas</td>
<td>Perioral, chin</td>
<td>Perioral, nose</td>
<td>Forehead, cheeks</td>
<td>Periocular</td>
<td>Eyelids, neck</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each mL of Hetter’s stock or drop of croton oil results in 0.4% increments in croton oil in formulas containing a total of 10 mL.

Hetter’s stock solution (1 mL of croton oil mixed with 24 mL of phenol 88%) contains 4% croton oil in phenol. Each milliliter of the stock solution contains 0.04 mL of croton oil, equivalent to 1 drop of croton oil from a Delasco dropper, which produces ~25 drops/mL. Never use stock solution undiluted on patients.

Septisol is a trademark of Steris Corp (Mentor, OH).

Fig 1. Evaluation of the neocollagenesis band in punch biopsy specimens obtained on postoperative day 21 in a pig study on phenol-croton oil peels. A. Septisol and water, negative control, shows mature collagen (type I collagen, red) throughout the full dermal thickness. B. Hetter’s very heavy formula containing 1.6% croton oil in 35% phenol, positive control, shows a dense 400- to 1000-μm band of new collagen (type III collagen, blue). Epidermal thickening is also observed. (Herovici stain; original magnification: ×20. Photographs courtesy Justo AS, Lemes BM, Lipinski LC, Wambier CG, and Beltrame FL.)
longer to heal in higher concentrations of phenol. Without Septisol, the peel is less deep. The same emulsions, with 0.2% or 2% croton oil, produced a dose-dependent clinically and histologically deeper burn, with intense inflammation and a prolonged healing period. When water is replaced by ethanol, simulating Fintsi’s formula, the depth and inflammation are reduced, because ethanol cannot carry the active compounds present in croton oil as deeply into the skin as phenol.

**CLINICAL INDICATIONS FOR PHENOL-CROTON OIL**

**Key points**
- Phenol-croton oil offers the ability to treat multiple degrees of photoaging by strength gradation
- Phenol-croton oil can be used on the face and the front of the neck
- Deep peels (Fig 2) are traditionally indicated for the treatment of severe rhytides (Glogau classification of photoaging IV) and severe acne scars. The indications have broadened since Hetter’s work on strength gradation, with new indications such as moderate photodamage (Glogau classification of photoaging III). Other indications may include treatment of xanthelasmas, actinic keratoses, actinic cheilitis, and augmentation and evasion of the lips.

Deep peels (Fig 2) are traditionally indicated for the treatment of severe rhytides (Glogau classification of photoaging IV) and severe acne scars. The indications have broadened since Hetter’s work on strength gradation, with new indications such as moderate photodamage (Glogau classification of photoaging III). Other indications may include treatment of xanthelasmas, actinic keratoses, actinic cheilitis, and augmentation and evasion of the lips.

The clinical indications of deep chemical peels overlap with other resurfacing and surgical procedures, often with a cost-benefit profile (Table IV) and clinical durability in favor of deep peels. Nonetheless, randomized comparative trials are needed to better define the clinical and the histologic outcomes of deep peels relative to other approaches to resurfacing currently in use, such as fractional ablative and nonablative lasers, fractional ablative radiofrequency, and microneedling.
One randomized comparative trial showed superiority of unoccluded classic Baker’s peel over pulsed CO\(_2\) laser in the treatment of upper lip wrinkles.\(^3\) Most split-face studies\(^3\) failed to perform side randomization, which is a methodological flaw because the left side usually suffers more intense sun damage in drivers.\(^9\) A small, nonrandomized prospective split-face comparative study between Baker-Gordon’s formula and medium Hetter’s formula concluded that outcomes were similar. Baker-Gordon’s formula, however, was associated with greater adverse events, such as postinflammatory hyperpigmentation.\(^3\) Another small, nonrandomized split-face study between unoccluded Baker-Gordon’s formula and 2 passes of CO\(_2\) laser reported more hypopigmentation but greater uniformity and effacement of wrinkles with the CO\(_2\) laser.\(^3\)

**Patient selection**

Realistic expectations and adherence to pre- and postprocedure regimens are crucial characteristics for any resurfacing procedure. A psychological profile that can endure a long healing period is required for deep chemical peels. Patients usually need a supportive supervisor to assist with hygiene, medications, and feeding in the first days postprocedure. Patients with Fitzpatrick skin phototypes IV to VI are rarely candidates for deep peels because they typically do not suffer evident photoaging and are at risk for pigmentary complications.

Fibrotic, oily skin, such as phymatous rosacea skin,\(^9\) may retard the chemical action of the preparation.\(^9\) Facial skin may be pretreated with topical retinoids or alpha-hydroxy acids for >1 month to counteract this effect.\(^9\) Some patients with thick, oily facial skin may benefit from a course of isotretinoin that is discontinued ≥1 month before the procedure. A history of malnutrition or poor facial wound healing are contraindications to deep facial peeling. Based on the available literature, deep peels are not recommended in the setting of concurrent systemic isotretinoin, similar to mechanical dermabrasion and fully ablative lasers.\(^9\)

**APPLICATION TECHNIQUE**

**Key points**

- Cardiac monitoring is required for full-face deep peels
- The therapeutic effect is increased with more strokes, volume, pressure, and concentration of croton oil
- Waiting 10 to 15 minutes between each cosmetic unit minimizes cardiotoxicity
- Petrolatum jelly or tape can be used for occlusion

The first step is to mix croton oil with 88% phenol into a solution, followed by the addition of soap and water (Table III). This preparation separates after 1 minute into 2 phases (Supplementary Video 1, available online at [https://www.jaad.org](https://www.jaad.org)).

The face comprises 6 cosmetic units: forehead, periocular, nose, left cheek, right cheek, and perioral area. Marking cosmetic units is helpful when performing segmental peels in which areas to be treated with heavy formulas are clearly differentiated.

---

**Table IV. Resurfacing procedures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Costs</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
<th>Reepithelization period</th>
<th>Complete healing period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fully ablative CO(_2) laser</td>
<td>Phenol-croton oil peels</td>
<td>Phenol-croton oil peels</td>
<td>Fully ablative CO(_2) laser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFL</td>
<td>Fully ablative CO(_2) laser</td>
<td>Fully ablative CO(_2) laser</td>
<td>Phenol-croton oil peels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAFL</td>
<td>Dermabrasion</td>
<td>Dermabrasion</td>
<td>Dermabrasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFRF</td>
<td>AFL</td>
<td>AFL</td>
<td>AFL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microneedling</td>
<td>Medium-depth peels</td>
<td>Medium-depth peels</td>
<td>Medium-depth peels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermabrasion</td>
<td>Microneedling</td>
<td>AFRF</td>
<td>AFRF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol-croton oil peels</td>
<td>AFRF</td>
<td>NAFL</td>
<td>NAFL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-depth peels</td>
<td>NAFL</td>
<td>Microneedling</td>
<td>Microneedling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AFL, Ablative fractional laser; AFRF, ablative fractional radiofrequency; NAFL, nonablative fractional laser. Adapted from Wambier et al.\(^3\)

*Estimated ranking in decreasing vertical order of perceived costs, efficacy, reepithelization period (downtime of a procedure), and complete healing period for the results of a single procedure. Results of a survey of 14 experts in resurfacing techniques. The ideal procedure would have maximum efficacy, with minimal costs, reepithelization period, and complete healing period.

1Includes consumables, equipment, maintenance, energy, equipment insurance, office space, staff, and labor hours.
from areas to be treated with lighter formulas or medium-depth peels.

**Intravenous fluids and analgesia**

When conducting full-face peels, intravenous fluids should be administered throughout the procedure to reduce cardiac complications related to phenol toxicity.41

For segmental peels in which only 1 cosmetic unit is treated, patients are instructed to drink a minimum of 1 L of fluids, such as isotonic sports drinks, or water throughout the procedure.

Numerous variations on pre-, intra-, and postoperative analgesia can be used, including opioids, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, and benzodiazepines. In addition, regional nerve blocks may be used to enhance comfort. The critical period of discomfort usually occurs about 1 to 4 hours after the peel and lasts until full edema is reached (8 hours).

**Application**

Washing the skin thoroughly with soap and water followed by acetone is of paramount importance. Lipids, make-up, and other particles prevent penetration of the phenol-croton oil ingredients. After the skin is thoroughly cleansed, application begins. The optimal application technique includes the correct amount of liquid, passes, and pressure.16,17 The applicator is rubbed while the other hand stabilizes the skin with a gauze pad for immediate drying of inadvertent drips (Supplementary Video 2, available online at https://www.jaad.org). Many physicians prefer cotton-tipped applicators because of the ability to have fine control. Some use both cotton-tipped applicators and a folded gauze.

The peel solution is feathered into the anterior hairline and scalp. For deep rhytides or a severe scar, the solution can be rubbed aggressively or etched into the area with a cotton-tipped wooden applicator or thin brush to increase penetration.42 Initially, an immediate, solid white frost is observed after application; however, the endpoint for a heavy phenol-croton oil peel is a fine gray cast over the skin, which appears after additional peel layers are applied. With increased pressure over deep wrinkles, mild purpura is observed after the frosting subsides and is usually followed by vesiculation over the next hour.

Blepharopeeling reduces excess eyelid laxity and wrinkles (Fig 3).32,43-45 When using chemical peels alone, a deeper peel is done in the area that would be surgically excised by upper eyelid blepharoplasty.44 A combination of chemical peeling and snip excisions may provide additional retraction.45

After completion of the deep peel, occlusion with ointments or tape is typical, although there are no comparative studies in humans to favor one over another in the current literature.13,41,46 Petrolatum jelly is noncomedogenic.47 Repeated application every 2 to 6 hours provides adequate occlusion, protects against irritants, prevents fissures, and facilitates eating and mouth hygiene.

When tape is used, a waterproof zinc oxide tape is frequently used. Strips are applied parallel to the anterior hairline to the entire face except the eyes and mouth. This mask is removed by the physician after 24 to 48 hours. Bismuth subgallate powder is frequently applied after tape removal.42

---

**Fig 3.** Blepharopeeling with 0.1% croton oil in 35% phenol. A, Before: mild static wrinkles, mild laxity, and diffuse melanosis. B, After 6 months: effacement of wrinkles, eyelid tightening, and general improvement in skin pigmentation.
Intraoperative safety
Cardiac safety is a concern for procedures involving >1 cosmetic unit, or >0.5% of the body surface area (equal to a palm without fingers). Air circulation and safety pauses of 10 to 15 minutes between each cosmetic unit of the face (forehead, perioral, periorcular, nose, and each cheek) are recommended to allow phenol to be excreted regardless of the number of cosmetic units peeled. A full-face peel should be completed over at least 60 to 90 minutes, with adequate pauses between each cosmetic unit. For peels exceeding 1% of the body surface area, hydration (oral or intravenous), continuous electrocardiographic monitoring, and ventilation/exhaustion of room air are recommended.

Phenol may penetrate regular latex and nitrile gloves. Neoprene gloves are recommended for personal protection, especially when using gauze pads as applicators. The use of facial masks with activated carbon is recommended for the operating room personnel.

ADVERSE EFFECTS
Key points
- Seven percent of patients will exhibit transient intraoperative cardiac arrhythmias
- Prolonged erythema is an expected side effect

Transient rate-corrected QT interval prolongation may occur during phenol-croton oil peels; therefore, a baseline electrocardiogram is needed for full-face peeling, and drugs known to prolong the rate-corrected QT interval should be discontinued. Approximately 7% of patients undergoing full-face peels will exhibit transient intraoperative cardiac arrhythmias, which are more common in patients who are taking medications known to prolong the rate-corrected QT interval, such as antihypertensive and antidepressant medications. Hemodynamic instability caused by cardiac complications is rare, especially if peels are performed over a long period of time and with appropriate precautions. There are no reports of cardiac arrest in the literature to date. The rate-corrected QT interval prolongation associated with phenol-croton oil peels typically resolves within 15 minutes of completion of the procedure. Atrial extrasystolic beats and premature ventricular beats are an early warning signal: if these occur, longer pauses are taken, the treatment area is reduced before each pause, air circulation is rechecked, and intravenous fluid delivery is increased. Arrhythmic events may be controlled with an intravenous bolus of ≤5 mL of 2% lidocaine (1-1.5 mg/kg). We recommend using short-acting beta-blockers, such as esmolol or propranolol, prophylactically to reduce the risk of arrhythmia in patients without contraindications, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, severe bradycardia, and advanced atrioventricular blocks.

Hypertrophic scar formation may occur in particular areas, such as the zygomatic arch, preauricular area, medial upper eyelids, lateral lower eyelids, and neck, which should consequently be peeled less vigorously. For extremely lax lower eyelids (compromised snap-back test), or previous ectropion, it is prudent to peel this area with a more superficial agent. Ectropion resulting from the peel may self-resolve.

Eye irritation with chemosis may be caused by intraoperative exposure to wounding agents, fumes, or intermixing of the patient’s tears with the formula. For safety, the eyes should remain shut with an assistant drying the patient’s tears throughout the procedure. Cotton-tipped applicators or gauze impregnated with peel solution should never be passed directly over a patient’s eyes to avoid accidental dripping. Because opiates decrease tearing by anticholinergic effects, there may be additional benefit for intraoperative narcotics.

Infections are rare. Any systemic sign of increased edema, exude, odor, or excessive discomfort/pain after the first 48 hours requires antibiotic coverage for Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. A single case of toxic shock syndrome was reported in 3 journals.

Postinflammatory hyperpigmentation usually lasts <6 months and may be followed by pseudohypopigmentation, where the skin color is actually normal but appears hypopigmented compared with untreated, sun-damaged skin (Fig 4). Feathering may avoid demarcation lines, and some physicians prefer segmental treatment for more adequate color matching. Even patients with lighter Fitzpatrick skin phototypes may require segmental treatments for uniform color results (Fig 5).

Leukoderma may occur from subepidermal fibrosis, even though melanocytes are still present.

Prolonged erythema starts during the first week and peaks in the second week postprocedure. Erythema is a normal part of the healing process and is a surrogate sign of reticular dermal collagen formation. The patient must be forewarned of its benign nature. Patients are normally erythematous for 3 to 6 months and with exercise for as long as a year. The peel depth and long-term effectiveness are directly proportional to the degree and duration of
the erythema. During the erythematous phase, the skin is usually dry and more sensitive to irritation and flushing.

In conclusion, phenol-croton oil peeling effects have greater longevity than many other resurfacing procedures. Croton oil is a rich and complex compound containing phorbol esters. Varying the concentration of croton oil in phenol enables tailoring of appropriate formulas to the degree of sun-damage requiring treatment. Successful outcomes depend on a balance of art and technique, patient education, and safety standards. The cost-effectiveness of deep chemical peeling compared with other resurfacing methods is superlative. Supervised hands-on training is irreplaceable, either in training programs or in

Fig 4. Postinflammatory hyperpigmentation after a periocular 1.2% croton oil in 35% phenol peel. A, Persistent postinflammatory hyperpigmentation is notable in the periocular region. B, At 2 years’ follow-up, pseudohypopigmentation is evident in the periocular area compared with the sun-damaged skin of the temples and cheeks. Peeling the sun-damaged areas will minimize the color discrepancy.

Fig 5. Segmental peeling with 1.1% croton oil in 33% phenol (perioral and glabella), 0.35% croton oil in 33% phenol (eyelids, temples, and cheeks), combined with Jessner solution followed by 35% trichloroacetic acid over the forehead where less severe photoaging was present. A, Before and (B) after 5 months of follow-up.
continuing medical education. The International Peeling Society offers several workshops throughout the year.
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